SFD Report

Triyuga Municipality
Nepal

Final Report

This SFD Report - SFD level 2 - was prepared by:
Environment and Public Health Organization (ENPHO)

Date of production: 21/03/2024
Last update: 21/10/2025

SFD Report Triyuga Municipality, Nepal, 2025

Produced by:

Rupak Shrestha, ENPHO
Asmita Shrestha, ENPHO
Anita Bhuju, ENPHO
Buddha Bajracharya, ENPHO
Sabuna Gamal, ENPHO

This Executive Summary and SFD Report are available from:

www.sfd.susana.org

1. The SFD Graphic



2. Diagram information

SFD Level:

This SFD is a level 2- Intermediate report.

Produced by:

Environment and Public Health Organization (ENPHO).

Collaborating partners:

Triyuga Municipality, Municipal Association of Nepal (MuAN), United Cities and Local Government – Asia Pacific (UCLG-ASPAC).

Status:

Final SFD report.

Date of Production: 21/03/2024

3. General city information

Triyuga Municipality was declared as municipality in 2016. The municipality is located in Udayapur District, Koshi Province. The municipality is divided into sixteen political wards.

The municipality has total 102,725 population with 48,462 males and 54,262 females (Census 2021, n.d.). Out of total wards, ward number 11 has the largest population (11,400) while ward number 14 has the least number of populations (2,312). The municipality has a total of 25,623 households. Ward number 11 has the most households with a total (2,973), while ward number 14 has the least number of households with a total (590).

The district's yearly temperature is 17.42ºC (63.36ºF). The annual rainfall is 253.05 millimetres (9.96 inches) (Weather and Climate, n.d.).

4. Service outcome

The overview of different sanitation technologies across the sanitation value chain in the municipality is briefly explained in this section (ENPHO, 2024). Basic sanitation coverage in the municipality is 99%. Basic sanitation is defined as having access to facilities for the safe disposal of human waste (faeces and urine), as well as having the ability to maintain hygienic conditions, through services such as garbage collection, industrial/hazardous waste management, and wastewater treatment and disposal. The families without toilets defecate in open areas, neighbour's toilets or use public toilets. Among the households having their own toilets 100% of the households rely on onsite sanitation systems.

Containment:

Different types of containments used to store faecal sludge in onsite sanitation systems are fully lined tanks (8%), lined tanks with impermeable walls and open bottom (36%), lined pits with semipermeable walls and open bottom (53%) and unlined pits (2%). 1% of households rely on open defecation.

Emptying and Transportation:

There are regular emptying practices of the containments. Here 28% of the households had emptied the containment at least once since installation. Both manual and mechanical desludging mechanisms are practised.

Treatment and Disposal:

The municipality lacks a faecal sludge treatment facility. Most of the faecal sludge emptied is used in agricultural lands as well as dumped in the untreated environment. Households having a biogas digester installed utilize their energy in cooking and other purposes.

The SFD graphic shows that 42% of the excreta generated is safely managed while 58% of the excreta generated are unsafely managed. The safely managed percentage of FS generated by 41% of the population is temporary until the tanks and pits become full and the Faecal Sludge (FS) from the containment is emptied.

5. Service delivery context

Access to drinking water and sanitation has been defined as fundamental rights to every citizen by the constitution of Nepal. To respect, protect and implement the rights of citizen embedded in the constitution, the Government of Nepal (GoN) has enforced the Water Supply and Sanitation Law 2022 which emphasized on a right to quality sanitation services and prohibited direct discharge of wastewater and sewage into water bodies or public places.

Several policies have been in place to accomplish the sanitation needs of people. Particularly, NSHMP 2011 has proved to be an important strategic document for all stakeholders to develop uniform programs and implementation mechanisms at all levels. It strengthened institutional set up with the formation of Water and Sanitation Coordination Committee (WASH-CC) to actively engage in sanitation campaigns. The sanitation campaign was implemented throughout the country mainly focusing on achieving universal access to improved sanitation.

The draft Sector Development Plan (SDP) has envisioned the delineation of roles and responsibilities of federal, provincial and local government in an aim to initiate sustainability of national sanitation campaign.

6. Overview of stakeholders

The major stakeholders envisioned by the regulatory framework for faecal sludge management (FSM) in urban cities are presented in Table 1.

Table 1: Overview of Stakeholders.

Key Stakeholders	Institutions / Organizations
Public Institutions at Local Government	Triyuga Municipality
Non-governmental Organizations	Environment and Public Health Organization (ENPHO)
Private Sector	Public Toilet Operators, Water Users Committee.
Development Partners, Donors	MuAN, BMGF, UCLG ASPAC

7. Credibility of data

The major data had been collected from random household sampling (ENPHO, 2022). Altogether, 377 households and 58 institutions were surveyed from 16 wards of Triyuga municipality. Primary data on emptying, transportation and current sanitation practices in the municipality are triangulated with the data obtained from Key Informant Interviews (KIIs) with Municipal Officers, the operators of public toilets, and the sanitation, and environmental section of the municipality. Also, a data sharing and validation workshop with key stakeholders was performed.

8. Process of SFD development

Data on sanitation situations were collected through household and institutional surveys. Enumerators from the municipality were mobilized after providing orientation on sanitation technologies, objectives of the survey and proper use of mobile application, KOBOCOLLECT for data collection for the survey. Along with this, KIIs were conducted with officers and engineers of the municipality and the Water Supply and Sanitation Users Committee. Types of sanitation technologies used in various locations have been mapped using ARCGIS. For the Shit Flow Diagram (SFD) graphic production, initially, a relationship between sanitation technology used in questionnaire survey and Shit Flow Diagram Promotion Initiative (SFD PI) methodology was made. Then, data were fed into SFD graphic generator to produce the SFD graphic.

8. List of data sources

The list of data sources to produce this executive summary is as follows:

- ENPHO. (2024). Sanitation Situtaion Assessment: Triyuga Municipality. Unpublished.
- MoFAGA. (2017). Ministry of Federal Affairs & General Administration. Retrieved from Government of Nepal, Ministry of Federal Affairs & General Administration: https://www.sthaniya.gov.np/gis/.
- CBS. (2021). National Population and Housing Census 2021. Kathmandu, Nepal: Central Bureau of Statistics.

SFD Triyuga Municipality, Nepal, 2025

Produced by:

Rupak Shrestha, ENPHO
Asmita Shrestha, ENPHO
Anita Bhuju, ENPHO
Buddha Bajracharya, ENPHO
Sabuna Gamal, ENPHO

This Executive Summary and the SFD Report are available from:

www.sfd.susana.org

Table of Contents

List of Tables

List of Figures

Abbreviations

ENPHO	Environment and Public Health Organization
FS	Faecal Sludge
FSM	Faecal Sludge Management
GoN	Government of Nepal
HH	Household
JMP	Joint Monitoring Programme
KII	Key Informant Interview
KM	Kilometres
mm	Millimetre
MoEST	Ministry of Education, Science and Technology
MoFAGA	Ministry of Federal Affairs and General Assembly
MoH	Ministry of Health
MoHP	Ministry of Health and Population
MoUD	Ministry of Urban Development
MoWS	Ministry of Water Supply
MuAN	Municipal Association of Nepal
NPC	National Planning Commission
NUWSSP	National Urban Water Supply and Sanitation Sector Policy
NWSSP	National Water Supply and Sanitation Policy
ODF	Open Defecation Free
RWSSNP	Rural Water Supply and Sanitation National Policy
SCEIS	Sector Coordination and Efficiency Improvement Section
SDG	Sustainable Development Goal
SDP	Sector Development Plan
SFD	Shit Flow Diagram
SFD PI	Shit Flow Diagram Promotion Initiative
SN	Supernatant
UCLG ASPAC	United Cities and Local Governments Asia Pacific
UNICEF	United Nations Children's Education Fund
VDC	Village Development Committee
WASH	Water, Sanitation and Hygiene
WASH-CC	Water, Sanitation and Hygiene Coordination Committee
WHO	World Health Organization
WSP	Water Supply Providers
WSUC	Water Supply and User's Committee
WW	Wastewater

1. City context

Triyuga Municipality is located in Udayapur District, Koshi Province of Nepal. Triyuga Municipality was declared as municipality in 2016. The Municipality has a total of sixteen political wards. It covers 547.43 square kilometres of area. The Municipality is enclosed by Chaudandigadi Municipality in the East, Rautamai Village Development Committee (VDC) and Khotang District in the North, Udaipur Ghahi VDC and Saptari District in West and Saptari District in the South (Nepal Archives, n.d.). Figure 1 shows the geo-political map of Triyuga Municipality.



Figure 1: Map of Triyuga Municipality with ward boundaries.

1.1 Population

The municipality has total 102,725 population with 48,462 males and 54,262 females. Out of total wards, ward number 11 has the largest population (11,400) while ward number 14 has the least number of populations (2,312). The municipality has a total of 25,623 households. Ward number 11 has the most households with a total of 2,973, while ward number 14 has the least number of households with a total of 590. (CBS, 2021).

Table 1: Ward Wise Household and Population Data.

Wards	Households	Population	Male	Female	Average Household Size
1	1,126	4,658	2,182	2,476	4.14
2	1,417	5,830	2,744	3,086	4.11
3	2,515	9,997	4,692	5,305	3.97
4	1,412	5,666	2,613	3,053	4.01
5	1,741	6,983	3,317	3,666	4.01
6	2,144	8,820	4,264	4,556	4.11
7	1,685	6,733	3,147	3,586	4.00
8	1,372	5,468	2,559	2,909	3.99
9	1,373	5,575	2,620	2,955	4.06
10	2,125	8,265	3,884	4,381	3.89
11	2,973	11,400	5,335	6,065	3.83
12	1,882	7,907	3,770	4,137	4.20
13	1,638	6,386	2,982	3,404	3.90
14	590	2,312	1,112	1,200	3.92
15	807	3,541	1,736	1,805	4.39
16	823	3,184	1,506	1,678	3.87
Total	**25,623**	**102,725**	**48,463**	**54,262**	**4.01**

(Census 2021, n.d)

1.2 Climate

Udayapur District has tropical monsoon climate with an average maximum temperature of 38° c and minimum temperature of 16° c. The average annual rainfall in this area is 2,152 mm. The rainfall pattern shows that 80% of precipitation occurs during the rainy season, 12% occurs before rainy season, 6% after rainy season and the remaining 2% in winter. (Climate, n.d.)

1.3 Topography

The municipality ranges from 26°41'17" N latitude to 26°56'42" N latitude and 86°32'11" E longitude to 86°50'29" E longitude. In the Udayapur district, lower tropical zones are found below 300 m (1,000 ft), whereas upper tropical zones are found between 300 to 1,000 m (1,000 to 3,300 ft). Subtropical zone ranges from 1,000 to 2,000 m and temperate zone ranges from 2,000 to 3,000 m (6,400 to 9,800 ft). (Udayapur_District, n.d.)

2 Service Outcomes

2.1 Overview

Data on sanitation situations were collected through household and institutional surveys (ENPHO, 2024). A total of 377 households were sampled from 25,623 households distributed in 16 wards (further details are presented in section 4). The results obtained after the triangulation and validation of the data with all the data sources including literature reports, Key Informant Interviews (KIIs) and a validation workshop is presented in this section.

2.1.1 Sanitation Status

Udayapur District was declared as an Open Defecation Free (ODF) zone on 27th January 2016. It suggests that everyone has access to basic sanitation facilities, where it is defined as having access to facilities for the safe disposal of human waste (faeces and urine), as well as having the ability to maintain hygienic conditions, through services such as garbage collection, industrial/hazardous waste management, and wastewater treatment and disposal. The sanitation situation assessment conducted by ENPHO in 2024 showed that 99% of surveyed households in the municipality have access to basic sanitation coverage (ENPHO, 2024). The remaining households still defecate in open spaces or forest.

Offsite sanitation refers to a sanitation system in which excreta (referred to as wastewater) is collected and transported away from the plot where they are generated. An offsite sanitation system relies on a sewer technology for transport (Susana, 2018), whereas onsite sanitation refers to a sanitation technology or sanitation system in which excreta (referred to as faecal sludge) is collected and stored and emptied from or treated on the plot where they are generated (Susana, 2018). Onsite sanitation systems are prevalent in the municipality. 100% of households rely on onsite sanitation technologies in the municipality. Figure 2 shows the types of sanitation systems in the municipality.



Figure 2: Household Sanitation Status of Triyuga Municipality (ENPHO, 2022).

2.1.2 Types of Containment

100% of the households in the municipality use an onsite sanitation system. The different types of containment installed to store faecal sludge is explained as follows.

7% of households use fully lined tanks in their houses which is a rectangular onsite sanitation technology which is used to safely store faecal sludge. There are no outlets or overflow to discharge effluent. The walls and bottom of tank are totally lined and sealed. Figure 3 shows the types of fully lined tank constructed at household level in Triyuga Municipality.

Figure 3: Fully Lined Tank.

Also, 2% of the households in the municipality are connected to a biogas digester that uses natural anaerobic decomposition of organic matter under controlled conditions. 36% of the households in the municipality have built lined tanks with impermeable walls and open bottom, which is a rectangular onsite technology where the walls of the tank are lined and the bottom of tank is not lined and allows infiltration of effluents.

Figure 4 shows the types of lined tanks with impermeable walls and open bottom built at household level in Triyuga Municipality.

Figure 4: Lined tank with impermeable wall and open bottom.

Single pits are popular in the municipality with 41% of the households having such types of pits installed by assembling pre-cast concrete rings one after another. 13% of the households built twin pits. Twin pits are a porous material allowing the liquid to infiltrate into the ground while solids accumulate and degrade at the bottom, while one pit is filling with black water another pit remains out of service. 2% of households in the municipality use unlined pits.

An unlined pit is a containment constructed with mud mortar stone or brick wall or dry-stone walls and open bottom or could be of no lining. An unlined pit with dry stone wall is popular in the rural areas of the municipality. Figure 5 shows the design of twin pits installed at household level.

Figure 5: Inappropriate design of the twin pits, where the distance between two pits is less than 1 m.

Table 2 shows the percentage of households with different types of containments in the municipality.

Table 2: Types of containments in households of Triyuga Municipality (ENPHO, 2024).

Containments	Percentage of Households
Biogas Digester	2%
Fully lined tank	7%
Lined Tank with impermeable wall and open bottom	36%
Single offset pit	41%
Twin Pit	13%
Unlined Pit	2%
Total	**100%**

Figure 6 shows the distribution of various types of sanitation technologies in different wards of Triyuga Municipality.



Figure 6: Sanitation Technologies installed in household levels (ENPHO, 2024).

The types of household containments in the municipality are re-categorized to match the containments defined by Shit Flow Diagram Promotion Initiative (SFD PI). The biogas digester used to treat household organic waste is also utilized by households to store and treat their faecal sludge. For the purpose of generating the SFD graphic, the biogas digester is modelled as a fully lined tank. Similarly, twin pits and single pits constructed by assembling pre-cast concrete rings one above another are classified as lined pits with semi-permeable walls and open bottom.

Table 3 shows the types of containment re-categorized according to Shit Flow Diagram Promotion Initiative (SFD PI).

Table 3: Types of containment re-categorized according to Shit Flow Diagram Promotion Initiative (SFD PI) (ENPHO, 2024).

Types of Containments	Percentage of Households
Fully lined tank (T1A3C8 and T1A3C10)	8%
Lined pit with semi-permeable walls and open bottom (T1A5C10 and T2A5C10)	53%
Lined tank with impermeable wall and open bottom (T1A4C8, T1A4C10 and T2A4C10)	36%
Open Defecation (T1B11 C7 TO C9)	1%
Unlined Pit (T1A6C10)	2%
Total	**100%**

2.1.3 Emptying and Transportation

Emptying is one of the major components of the sanitation value chain. It ensures proper functioning of containment basically for septic tank which functioned well until the volume of sludge is one-third of the total column of the tank. Also, in other containments, regular emptying prevents overflow of the sludge and blockages (Linda Strande, 2014). Figure 7 represents the map of Triyuga Municipality showing the status of sanitation technology that has been emptied at least once.



Figure 7: Status of household which have emptied their containment at least once.

28% of the households have emptied the containment at least once since installation through manual or mechanical emptying services, whereas 72% of the households have not emptied their containment as it has not been filled yet.

Table 4: Overall Emptying percentage of containment at least once since installation (ENPHO, 2024).

Containment	Never emptied	Emptied at least once
Fully lined tank	6%	3%
Lined pit with semi-permeable walls and open bottom	32%	21%
Lined Tank with impermeable wall and open bottom	32%	4%
Unlined Pit	2%	0%
Total	**72%**	**28%**

Hamro Septic Tanki Sasafai and Udayapur Septic Tanki Sarsafai, a private desludging service provider, are the major emptying service provider in the municipality. The service provider is equipped with a vacuum truck with a tank capacity of 6,000 and 4,000 litres. One driver and one helping staff work in the vacuum truck. The staff do not wear any uniforms, gloves, boots or masks for safety reasons during work. It charges NPR 3,500 (USD 26.9) per trip for both circular and rectangular containments which also varies according to travel distance (KII-5, 2024). Figure 8 shows KII with the desludging service providers in the municipality.

Figure 8: Mechanical Emptying of Containments in Triyuga Municipality.

2.1.4 Treatment and Disposal/Reuse

Triyuga Municipality does not have any form of treatment plant for Faecal Sludge (FS) (KII-1, KII with Municipal Officers, 2024). The majority of FS emptied is applied in farmlands. Figure 9 shows the FS disposed to farmland after being mechanically emptied.

Figure 9: Disposal of FS from Households to farmland after mechanical emptied.

Figure 10 shows the percentage of people residing in the municipality about disposal of FS after the onsite sanitation system is emptied. Dig and dump method, considered as an unsafely managed option, is the most practiced way for disposal of FS in the municipality.



Figure 10: Disposal of manually emptied faecal sludge (ENPHO, 2024).

2.1.5 Institutional Level Sanitation System

Altogether, 58 institutions from commercial buildings, educational institutions, governmental and non-governmental offices, health care centers and hotels were assessed randomly. It was revealed that 100% of such buildings had connected their toilets to onsite sanitation technologies. The percentage of types of onsite sanitation technologies in these buildings are shown in Figure 11.



Figure 11: Types of containment in institutions of Triyuga Municipality (ENPHO, 2024).

From the institutional survey, only 16% of institutions in Triyuga Municipality have emptied their containments and 84% of institutions have not emptied because they were never filled. Distribution of different types of onsite sanitation technologies of institutions in various wards of Triyuga Municipality is shown in Figure 12.



Figure 12: Types of onsite sanitation systems in institutions of Triyuga Municipality (ENPHO, 2024).

2.1.6 Public Toilets

Only five significant public toilets were examined during the survey in Triyuga Municipality. Private operators are responsible for collecting fees, operating and maintaining all public toilet. (KII-1, Interview with Municipal officers, 2024). Figure 13 shows the pictures of public toilets during the survey.

Figure 13: Public toilets available in Triyuga Municipality.

2.1.7 Risk of Ground Water Pollution

The risk of groundwater pollution was assessed based on sources of drinking water, secondary data on water quality and the vulnerability of the aquifer with regards to lateral spacing between sanitation system and groundwater sources.

2.1.8 Source of Drinking water and water Production

a) Water Supply:

Triyuga Small Town Drinking Water and Sanitation Users Committee has been providing piped drinking water since 1995 in ward- 9, 10, 11, and few households from ward -12. The major source of water is Spring Source named Baruwa Khola. The water is collected in a 7.54 lakh[1] litres and 2 lakhs[2] litres reservoir tank. After the chlorination process water is distributed to the household. Around 2,800 to 3,000 households have been connected to a piped drinking water supply so far from the system with a Mainline of 10 km and Distribution line of 45 km (KII-2, 2024).

Sripur Community Drinking Water Supply and Sanitation Users Community with households of 775 and *Jaljale Drinking Water Supply and Sanitation Users Community* with 750 households are other small water users' committees distributing drinking water supply in the municipality. Both systems use ground water as a source, with a deep-water boring system (KII-3 4, 2024). Figure 14 shows the piped drinking water supply system of Triyuga Municipality.

Figure 14: Overhead Tank for Piped Drinking Water Supply System in Triyuga Municipality.

[1] One lakh = 10^5

[2] One lakh = 10^5

However, most households in the municipality rely on tube well and hand pumps for drinking water supply. 57% of the households in the municipality depend on groundwater sources for drinking and other daily activities which is followed by private tap with 37%. The remaining households depend on public taps and Spring source for drinking purposes. Figure 15 shows the various sources of drinking water supply in the municipality.



Figure 15: Types of Various Sources of Drinking Water in the Municipality (ENPHO, 2024).

b) The vulnerability of the aquifer and lateral spacing between sanitation system and groundwater source

The term aquifer pollution vulnerability is intended to represent the varying level of natural protection afforded by the contaminant attenuation capacity of the unsaturated zone or semi-confining beds above an aquifer, because of physicochemical processes (filtration, biodegradation, hydrolysis, adsorption, neutralization, volatilization, and dispersion)—all of which vary with their texture, structure, clay content, organic matter, pH, redox and carbonate equilibria. Groundwater vulnerability is specific to containment type and pollution scenarios (Andreo, 2013). Among the various types of onsite sanitation technologies, lined tanks with impermeable walls and open bottom and lined pits are more prone to contribute to aquifer pollution as the nature of such containments impose more containment load from the land surface to groundwater.

A key determinant of risk variation is the soil and geological setting. Especially for consolidated hard rock sediments with poor soil cover and shallow water tables, the risk is higher. According to WHO criteria, if the travel time of pollutant to groundwater source is less than 25 days, there is significant risk to contamination; low risk, if the travel time is between 25 and 50 days; and very low risk if the travel time is greater than 50 days (Krishnan, 2011). The size of pores in the soil determines the infiltration rate. In the sandy loam soil, the permeability is approximately

2.5 cm per hour. Thus, between 25 and 50 days the pollutants could travel to the depth of approximately 30 metres (98.67 feet) in sandy loam soil. Hence, the people using open bottom tanks and consuming water from the hand pumps with the depth up to 98.67 feet (30 m) and horizontal distance of the pump within 25 feet (7.62 m) from the source of pollutants are assumed at significant risk to groundwater pollution.

Figure 16 demonstrates the depth of hand pumps and horizontal distance from source of pollutant by lined tanks with impermeable walls and open bottom. Here, the total percentage of households using lined tanks with impermeable walls and open bottom is 35%. Among these, 59% of households depend on groundwater. So, the percentage of these households with significant risk to consumption of contaminated groundwater is 92% out of 59% (i.e., T2A4C10 = 35 x 59% x 92% = 19%) is at risk of consumption of groundwater pollution from their containment. The remaining 16% of lined tanks (T1A4C10) are located in households with low risk of groundwater pollution.



Figure 16: Depth of hand pumps and lateral spacing with containment type lined tank with impermeable walls and open bottom (ENPHO, 2024).

Similarly, Figure 17 demonstrates the depth of hand pumps and horizontal distance of it with the containment type of a lined pit with semi-permeable walls and open bottom. Here, the total percentage of households using lined pits with semi-permeable walls and open bottom is 53%. Among these, 55% of households depend on groundwater. So, the percentage of these households with significant risk to consumption of contaminated groundwater is 88% out of 55% (i.e., T2A5C10 = 53% x 55% x 88% = 26%) is at risk of consumption of groundwater pollution from their containment. The remaining 27% of lined pits (T1A5C10) are in households with low risk of groundwater pollution.



Figure 17: Depth of hand pumps and lateral spacing with containment type lined pit with semi-permeable walls and open bottom (ENPHO, 2024).

2.2 SFD Selection Grid

Types of sanitation technologies selected in the SFD selection grid in the municipality are shown in Figure 18. The vertical column in the left side of the SFD selection grid has a list of technologies to which the toilet is connected to and open defecation in case of households without toilets. Similarly, horizontal row at the top of the selection grid shows options for connection for outlet or overflow discharge from toilet.

The types of household containments in the municipality are re-categorized to match the containments defined by Shit Flow Diagram Promotion Initiative (SFD PI). The anaerobic biogas digester used to treat household organic waste is also utilized by households to store and treat their faecal sludge. For the purpose of generating the SFD graphic, the biogas digester is modelled as a fully lined tank. Similarly, single pits constructed by assembling pre-cast concrete rings one above another are classified as lined pits with semipermeable walls and open bottom.

Thus, different types of sanitation systems and their outlet are selected in the selection grid and the proportion of the population using such types of systems is calculated in the SFD graphic generation process.

List A: Where does the toilet discharge to? (i.e. what type of containment technology, if any?)	List B: What is the containment technology connected to? (i.e. where does the outlet or overflow discharge to, if anything?)									
	to centralised combined sewer	to centralised foul/separate sewer	to decentralised combined sewer	to decentralised foul/separate sewer	to soakpit	to open drain or storm sewer	to water body	to open ground	to 'don't know where'	no outlet or overflow
No onsite container. Toilet discharges directly to destination given in List B					Significant risk of GW pollution Low risk of GW pollution					Not Applicable
Septic tank					Significant risk of GW pollution Low risk of GW pollution					
Fully lined tank (sealed)					Significant risk of GW pollution Low risk of GW pollution			T1A3C8		T1A3C10
Lined tank with impermeable walls and open bottom	Significant risk of GW pollution Low risk of GW pollution	Significant risk of GW pollution Low risk of GW pollution	Significant risk of GW pollution Low risk of GW pollution	Significant risk of GW pollution Low risk of GW pollution	Significant risk of GW pollution Low risk of GW pollution			T1A4C8		T2A4C10 T1A4C10
Lined pit with semi-permeable walls and open bottom	Not Applicable									T2A5C10 T1A5C10
Unlined pit										Significant risk of GW pollution T1A6C10
Pit (all types), never emptied but abandoned when full and covered with soil										Significant risk of GW pollution Low risk of GW pollution
Pit (all types), never emptied, abandoned when full but NOT adequately covered with soil										
Toilet failed, damaged, collapsed or flooded										
Containment (septic tank or tank or pit latrine) failed, damaged, collapsed or flooded										
No toilet. Open defecation	Not Applicable							T1B11 C7 TO C9		Not Applicable

Figure 18: SFD selection grid for Triyuga Municipality.

Brief explanation of terms used to indicate different frames selected in the SFD selection grid in Figure 18 is explained in Table 5.

Table 5: Explanation of terms used to indicate different frame selected in the SFD selection grid in Figure 18.

T1A3C10	A correctly designed, properly constructed and well maintained fully lined tank with impermeable walls and base. Since the tank is not fitted with a supernatant/effluent overflow this system is considered contained.
T1A3C8	This is a correctly designed, properly constructed and well maintained fully lined tank with impermeable walls and base. It includes poorly designed and/or constructed and/or maintained septic tanks that, because of these faults or deficiencies, are NOT performing as septic tanks, instead they are acting as sealed vaults (consequently the excreta are potentially more toxic than the excreta in a septic tank). Since the tank is fitted with a supernatant/effluent overflow connected to open ground the excreta in this system are considered NOT contained.
T1A4C8	A correctly designed, properly constructed and well-maintained lined tank with sealed, impermeable walls and an open, permeable base, through which infiltration can occur. Since the tank is fitted with a supernatant/effluent overflow connected to open ground, the excreta in this system are considered NOT contained.
T1A4C10 (Low Risk)	A correctly designed, properly constructed and well-maintained lined tank with sealed, impermeable walls and an open, permeable base, through which infiltration can occur. However, since the tank is not fitted with a supernatant/effluent overflow this system is considered contained.

T2A4C10 (High Risk)	This is a correctly designed, properly constructed and well-maintained lined tank with sealed, impermeable walls and an open, permeable base, through which infiltration can occur - the excreta are therefore likely to be partially treated. It includes all lined but open bottomed tanks and containers which are sometimes mistakenly referred to as septic tanks. The tank is NOT fitted with a supernatant/effluent overflow but since there is a 'significant risk' of groundwater pollution this system is considered NOT contained.
T2A5C10 (High Risk)	A correctly designed, properly constructed and well-maintained pit with semi-permeable, honeycombed lined walls and an open, permeable base, through which infiltration can occur. The tank is not fitted with a supernatant/effluent overflow but since there is a 'significant risk' of groundwater pollution this system is considered NOT contained.
T1A5C10 (Low Risk)	A correctly designed, properly constructed and well-maintained pit with semi-permeable, honeycombed lined walls and an open, permeable base, through which infiltration can occur. The tank is not fitted with a supernatant/effluent overflow, so this system is considered contained.
T2A6C10	This is a correctly designed, properly constructed and well-maintained unlined pit with permeable walls and base, through which infiltration can occur. The tank is NOT fitted with a supernatant/effluent overflow but since there is a 'significant risk' of groundwater pollution this system is considered NOT contained.
T1A6C10 (Low Risk)	This is a correctly designed, properly constructed and well-maintained unlined pit with permeable walls and base, through which infiltration can occur. The tank is NOT fitted with a supernatant/effluent overflow, so this system is considered contained.
T1B11C7 to C9	With no toilet, users defecate in water bodies, on open ground and to don't know where; consequently, the excreta is not contained.

2.2.1 SFD Matrix

The SFD matrix is the second step to generate the SFD graphic. The SFD matrix shows the proportion of people using each type of system and the proportion of each system from which FS and supernatant is emptied, transported and treated. A detailed instruction on how to calculate the proportion of the contents of each type of onsite container which is faecal sludge was used. As stated on the SFD PI, the default "100%" value is used for onsite containers which are connected to soak pits, water bodies or to open ground. This will model the contents as 100% of faecal sludge and a proportion of this may be emptied periodically. The remaining not emptied fraction is made up of one or more of the following: faecal sludge which remains in the container, supernatant (when discharging to water bodies or to open ground), and infiltrate. The value for onsite containers that are connected to a sewer network or to open drains is used as "50%" which means half of the contents are modelled as FS and a proportion of this may be emptied periodically. The remaining not emptied fraction will comprise faecal sludge which remains in the container and, in the case of open-bottomed tanks, infiltrate. The other half of the contents is modelled as supernatant discharging into the sewer network or to open drains. The formula obtained from SFD PI used for FS proportion calculation is shown below:

$$\frac{(Onsite\ container\ connected\ to\ soak\ pit, no\ outlet, water\ bodies\ or\ open\ ground) * 100 + (Onsite\ container\ connected\ to\ sewer\ network\ or\ open\ drain) * 50}{Onsite\ Container}$$

Here, data for each selected sanitation system on the SFD Matrix is entered. The proportion of the contents of each type of onsite container (either septic tanks; or fully lined tanks (sealed); or lined tanks with impermeable walls and open bottom and all types of pits), is shown in column Population (Pop) of Figure 19. The proportion of FS emptied (F3) is obtained from KIIs. The FS and supernatant delivered to treatment and treated is shown in columns F4, S4e, F5 and S5e, respectively.

The municipality does not have any form of treatment plant to treat faecal sludge. The FS emptied from the containments is dumped openly in farmlands or water bodies. Thus, values for variables F4, S4e, F5 and S5e for all sanitation systems are set to 0%. However, FS from anaerobic biogas digesters, classified as fully lined tanks (system T1A3C10) is considered as transported (F4 =60%) and treated with a treatment efficiency estimated at 95% (F5 = 95%). Figure 19 shows the SFD matrix of Triyuga Municipality.

Triyuga Municipality, Koshi, Nepal, 21 Mar 2024. SFD Level: 2 - Intermediate SFD
Population: 102725
Proportion of tanks: septic tanks: 0%, fully lined tanks: 100%, lined, open bottom tanks: 10(

Containment				
System type	Population	FS emptying	FS transport	FS treatment
	Pop	**F3**	**F4**	**F5**
System label and description	Proportion of population using this type of system (p)	Proportion of this type of system from which faecal sludge is emptied	Proportion of faecal sludge emptied, which is delivered to treatment plants	Proportion of faecal sludge delivered to treatment plants, which is treated
T1A3C10 Fully lined tank (sealed), no outlet or overflow	7.0	24.0	60.0	95.0
T1A3C8 Fully lined tank (sealed) connected to open ground	1.0	48.0	0.0	0.0
T1A4C10 Lined tank with impermeable walls and open bottom, no outlet or overflow	16.0	11.0	0.0	0.0
T1A4C8 Lined tank with impermeable walls and open bottom, connected to open ground	1.0	72.0	0.0	0.0
T1A5C10 Lined pit with semi-permeable walls and open bottom, no outlet or overflow	27.0	29.0	0.0	0.0
T1A6C10 Unlined pit, no outlet or overflow	2.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
T1B11 C7 TO C9 Open defecation	1.0			
T2A4C10 Lined tank with impermeable walls and open bottom, no outlet or overflow, where there is a 'significant risk' of groundwater pollution	19.0	5.0	0.0	0.0
T2A5C10 Lined pit with semi-permeable walls and open bottom, no outlet or overflow, where there is a 'significant risk' of groundwater pollution	26.0	45.0	0.0	0.0

Figure 19: SFD Matrix of Triyuga Municipality.

2.2.2 SFD Matrix Explanation

The sanitation technologies and the corresponding percentage of the population using such technologies are shown in Figure 19 (SFD Matrix). These values are derived from the household survey (ENPHO, 2024).

2.2.3 A proportion of FS emptied and transported.

The proportion of faecal sludge emptied (F3) is calculated based on percentage containment emptied (ENPHO, 2022) and amount of FS emptied during the process. The information on FS emptied from containment is obtained from Key Informant Interviews (KIIs) with desludging service providers. As per the desludging service provider portion of liquid in the FS is high which can be easily pumped out by the desludging vehicle. So, almost 90% of the FS content in the containment is removed during emptying. Hence, actual proportion of FS emptied from each containment is calculated as:

$$FS\ proportion\ emptied\ from\ containment = percentage\ of\ containment\ emptied \times proportion\ of\ FS\ emptied$$

The proportion of FS emptied from different types of sanitation technologies are shown in Table 6.

Table 6: Sanitation Technologies and Proportion of Faecal Sludge Emptied (KII-1, KII with Municipal officers, 2024)[(1)], ENPHO, 2024)[(2)].

S.N.	Sanitation Technologies	SFD Reference Variable	Percentage of Emptied Containment (1)	A proportion of FS emptied during emptying (2)	F3
1	Fully lined tank (sealed) connected to open ground	T1A3C8	53.00%	90%	48%
2	Fully lined tank (sealed), no outlet or overflow	T1A3C10	26.75%	90%	24%
3	Lined tank with impermeable walls and open bottom, connected to open ground	T1A4C8	80.00%	90%	72%
4	Lined tank with impermeable walls and open bottom, no outlet or overflow	T1A4C10	12.4%	90%	11%
5	Lined pit with semi-permeable walls and open bottom, no outlet or overflow	T1A5C10	32.41%	90%	29%
6	Unlined pit, no outlet or overflow	T1A6C10	0.00%	0%	0%
7	Lined tank with impermeable walls and open bottom, no outlet or overflow, where there is a 'significant risk' of groundwater pollution	T2A4C10	5.58%	90%	5%
8	Lined pit with semi-permeable walls and open bottom, no outlet or overflow, where there is a 'significant risk' of groundwater pollution	T2A5C10	50.00 %	90%	45%

2.3 Summary of Assumptions:

Offsite sanitation System:

- ✓ There is not any sewer network and hence all households in the municipality depend on Onsite Sanitation.

Onsite Sanitation System:

- ✓ The proportion of FS in septic tanks was set to 0%, the proportion of FS in fully lined tanks was set to 100% and the proportion of FS in lined tanks with impermeable walls and open bottom and all types of pits was set to 100% according to the relative proportions of the systems in the municipality, as per the guidance given in the Frequently Asked Question (FAQs) in the sustainable Sanitation Alliance (SuSanA) website.
- ✓ Variables F3, F4 and F5 for all onsite sanitation systems were derived from the household survey and cross-checked with KIIs conducted.
- ✓ The municipality does not have any form of treatment plant to treat faecal sludge. Also, the people using twin pits reclassified as lined pits with semi-permeable walls and open bottoms are not using them properly. The FS emptied from the containments is dumped openly in farmland or water bodies. Thus, values for variables F4 and F5 for all sanitation systems are set to 0%.
- ✓ FS from anaerobic biogas digesters, classified as fully lined tanks (system T1A3C10), is considered as transported (F4 = 60%) and treated with a treatment efficiency estimated at 95% (F5 = 95%).

2.4 SFD Graphic

Figure 20 shows the SFD graphic for Triyuga Municipality. In the graphic, the percentage of FS and wastewater (WW) indicated by colour green represent safely managed or stored (42%) whereas the percentage in colour red represents unsafely stored or managed (58%).

FS contained, i.e., FS kept in a container which is safe from human contact, in onsite sanitation, either emptied or not is safe. The FS contained - not emptied is also FS stored in tanks and pits which are in safe distance from sources of drinking water. Further, FS not contained is FS kept in containment which possess risk to human health through groundwater contamination. The lack of a Wastewater Treatment Plant (WWTP) or Faecal Sludge Treatment Plant (FSTP) in the Municipality leads to disposal of FS in farmlands and water bodies.



Figure 20: SFD graphic of Triyuga Municipality.

The faecal sludge that is safely managed (42%) is further segregated as 41% of FS which is safely collected in the containment which has not been emptied. The remaining 1% corresponds to FS safely treated and managed in the biodigesters. This 41% of safely managed FS should be considered as only temporary, as most of the pits and tanks have not yet filled up and the FS generated remains 'not emptied'. Therefore, these systems will require emptying services in the short and medium term as they fill up.

The unsafely managed excreta are divided into: FS emptied but not delivered to treatment (24%) which is unsafely disposed of into the environment and FS not contained - not emptied (33%), having a risk of groundwater contamination through seepage. A further 1% corresponds to FS not treated from the bio-gas digesters.

Lack of FSTP in the Municipality leads to disposal of FS in farmland and water bodies. Considering the SFD graphic, FS management is a concern for the municipality even through FS which is safely collected but emptied will eventually be emptied in future and will require safe management.

Offsite Sanitation

Nepal Multiple Indicator Survey (MICS) reported that among the total households in Nepal, 10.7% of households has a toilet connected to sewer network and in Koshi province it is only 2% (CBS, 2020). Whereas Triyuga Municipality does not have any offsite sanitation system.

Onsite Sanitation

The population relying on onsite sanitation systems is 99%. Triyuga Municipality does not have treatment plants or land separated for disposal of FS, which was confirmed by the information collected during the KII with the municipal officer (KII-1, KII with Municipal officers, 2024). The majority of FS emptied is delivered to open land or farmlands for unsafe disposal. The description on the fate of FS from the onsite sanitation systems as shown in the SFD graphic is explained in Table 7.

Table 7: Description of the percentages of the SFD graphic (Susana, 2018).

Variables	Description	Percent
FS contained	Faecal sludge that is contained within an onsite sanitation technology which is technically effective.	52%
FS not contained	Faecal sludge that is stored in unsafe onsite sanitation technology.	47%
FS contained – not emptied	FS that is contained within an onsite sanitation technology and not removed where there is no significant risk to groundwater pollution. These containments are fully lined tanks (sealed), no outlet or overflow (T1A3C10), fully lined tanks with impermeable walls and open bottom without outlet or overflow (T1A4C10) and lined pits with semi-permeable walls and open bottom, no outlet or overflow (T1A5C10) and unlined pits without significant risk to groundwater (T1A6C10).	41%
FS contained – emptied	FS that is contained in onsite sanitation technology and emptied either mechanically or manually.	11%
FS not contained – emptied	FS is not contained in onsite sanitation technology and has not emptied either mechanically or manually.	14%
FS not contained – not emptied.	FS that is not contained within an onsite sanitation technology and not removed which may either remain in the containment or infiltrate to ground polluting groundwater.	33%
FS not delivered to treatment	FS emptied from an onsite sanitation system is either FS contained or not but is not delivered to the treatment plant.	24%
FS not treated	FS emptied from an onsite sanitation system, delivered to treatment but not treated.	1%

Open Defecation

Nepal Multiple Indicator Survey (MICS) reported that among the total households in Nepal, 5% of households still practices open defecation and only in Koshi Province it is 3% (CBS, 2020). Despite ODF free status, people residing in 1% of households still go for open defecates outside in the vicinity of forests and other open spaces. This population with a high defecation rate is economically underdeveloped. The people living in poverty and those who do not own land mostly do not have toilets.

3 Service delivery context

3.1 Policy, legislation, and regulation

The constitution of Nepal 2015 has established right to access to clean drinking water and citizen as fundamental right. In Article 35 (4) related to right to health recognizes citizen's rights to access to clean drinking water and sanitation. In addition, Right to Clean Environment, Article 30 (1) recognizes that every person shall have the right to live in a healthy and clean environment (GoN 2015). To respect and promote the right of citizens to wards accessing clean drinking water and sanitation services, the government has promulgated and amended necessary laws. The most relevant legislation for promotion of safe sanitation services is discussed here.

Local Government Operation Act, 2017

Local Governance Operation Act 2017 has promulgated to implement the rights of local government and promote co-operation, co-existence, and co-ordination among federal, provincial, and local government. The act defined roles and responsibility of municipalities along with provision and procedure for approving laws and regulations at local level. Regarding the management of sanitation, the act entitles local government to conduct awareness campaigns, design and implement sanitation programs at the local level.

Environment Protection Act, 2019

Environment protection act 2019 is promulgated to prevent and control pollution from different development activities. It defines "Pollution" as the activities that significantly degrade, damage the environment, or harm the beneficial or useful purpose of the environment, by changing the environment directly or indirectly because of wastes, chemical, heat, noise, electrical, electro-magnetic wave, or radioactive ray. It provides the mechanism for appointing environmental inspector to control pollution by federal, provincial and local government.

Water Supply and Sanitation Act, 2022

The act was promulgated to ensure the fundamental right of citizens to easy access to clean and quality drinking water, sanitation services and management of sewerage and wastewater. It defines sewerage and wastewater management as construction of sewer networks and treatment plants to preserve sources of water. It has entitled federal, provincial, and local level for the operation and management of water and sanitation services. The act also explicitly defines the responsibility of every citizen to preserve, conserve and maintain the sources of water and use responsibly.

Environment Friendly Local Governance Framework 2013

The environment-friendly local governance framework 2013 has been issued to add value to environment-friendly local development concept encouraging environmental protection through local bodies. The framework has set basic and advanced indicators for households, settlement, ward, village, municipality, and district levels for declaration of environmentally friendly. The use of water sealed toilets in households as basic indicators for sanitation and health. Provision of toilet with safety tank and use as advanced indicators for sanitation. Provision of gender, children and disabled friendly public toilets in parks, petrol pumps and

main market as basic indicator for municipal level. Advance indicators such as drainage discharged only after being processed through biological or engineering technique. While it has failed to identify the necessity of faecal sludge treatment plants as it has assumed safety tanks in the households is sufficient for treating faecal sludge.

Institutional and Regulatory Framework for Faecal Sludge Management, 2017

Ministry of Water Supply through its Department of Water Supply and Sewerage Management (DWSSM) articulated and endorsed Institutional and Regulatory Framework (IRF) for Faecal Sludge Management in Urban Areas of Nepal in 2017. The main objective of the IRF is to define the specific roles and responsibilities of key institutions for the effective management and regulation of Faecal Sludge Management (FSM). The framework primarily envisioned featuring FSM in the national policy and issuing policy directives into local government to incorporate FSM in their urban planning along with strengthening and enhancing the capacity of the local government to deliver effective services. A local government has been endowed with overall responsibility to plan, implement, and regulate the FSM services within its jurisdiction. The provision of the ability to engage the private sector and other relevant stakeholders such as the Water and Sanitation Users Committee (WSUC) in the framework reflects a participatory approach that would help in sustaining the interventions.

Total Sanitation Guideline, 2017

Total Sanitation Guideline was promulgated by the Ministry of Water Supply in April 2017 after the successful implementation of the National Sanitation and Hygiene Master Plan (NSHMP) 2011. It provides guidelines for sustaining ODF outcomes and initiating post-ODF activities through an integrated water, sanitation and hygiene plan at municipalities and districts. The guideline redefined sanitation as management of services and facilities to safely dispose of/reuse faecal sludge, collection and treatment of solid waste and wastewater to establish a hygienic environment and promote public health. Indicators are set to guide total sanitation movement with an arrangement for resource management, monitoring and evaluation, capacity building.

3.2 Policies

Historically, the National Sanitation Policy (1994) was the guideline for the planning and implementation of sanitation programs. The policy had promoted sanitation issues together with issues on water supply in rural communities. Also, Rural Water Supply and Sanitation National Policy (RWSSNP) 2004, has set a new target to provide safe, reliable, and affordable water supply with basic sanitation facilities. The policy focused on delivering quality services on water and sanitation to the marginalized and vulnerable groups. However, it was unable to address the complex operational issue of urban water supply and sanitation service delivery (DWSSM, 2009).Thus, National Urban Water Supply and Sanitation Sector Policy (NUWSSSP) was formulated and enforced in 2009. It focused on achieving coherent, consistent, and uniform approaches of development in urban areas with the involvement of different agencies and institutions. Both these policies were limited to addressing emerging issues and challenges in the rural and urban areas. Thus, the National Water Supply and Sanitation Policy (NWSSP) was formulated in 2014 by GON to address the emerging

challenges and issues with the adoption of new approaches and resolve the inconsistency in RWSSNP and NUWSSSP.

The goal of the NWSSP was to reduce urban and rural poverty by ensuring equitable socio-economic development, improving health and the quality of life of the people and protection of environment through the provision of sustainable water supply and sanitation services. It adopted innovative technologies and knowledge emerged in the sector. Remarkably, it was the first official document that recognized discharge of untreated wastewater and dumping of septic sludge heavily polluted the surface water sources in urban areas.

Nepal is a signatory of the historical resolution of 2010 United Nations General Assembly on the Human Right to Water and Sanitation (UNGA, 2010). Nepal committed to Millennium Development Goals (MDGs) for 2000- 2015. The goal was accomplished through declaration of the country as free from open defecation on 30th September 2019. National Sanitation and Hygiene Master Plan, 2011 was developed for coordinated planning and implementation of National Sanitation Campaign. The campaign strengthened institutional setup tier of government in a participatory approach. In an alignment total sanitation campaign was initiated formally to sustain ODF. The guideline set various indicators to assess the sustainability of sanitation services. Remarkably, it extended sanitation definition as management of services and facilities to safely dispose of/reuse faecal sludge, collection and treatment of solid waste and wastewater to establish the hygienic environment and promote public health (NPC, 2017).

Similarly, Nepal Water Supply, Sanitation and Hygiene Sector Development Plan (SDP 2016-2030) was formulated in 2016 for sector convergence, institutional and legal reforms, capacity development and establishing coordination and harmonization in the sector. The SDP classified service system and delineated roles and responsibilities for effective and sustainable service delivery. The SDP highlighted that majority of households rely on onsite sanitation system (70%) that requires effective treatment of faecal sludge. However, there is lack of concrete policies, guidelines, and indicators on Faecal Sludge Management in the sector for effective planning, implementation, and service delivery.

3.2.1 Institutional roles

Federal, provincial, and local government are entitled for implementation of water and sanitation programs to ensure the rights on access to safe water and sanitation.

At Federal Level

National Planning Commission: At the federal government, the National Planning Commission is the specialized and apex advisory body for formulating a national vision, developing policy, periodic plans, and sectoral policies. The NPC assesses resource needs, identifies sources of funding, and allocates budget. It serves as a central agency for monitoring and evaluating development policies, plans and programs. It supports, facilitates and coordinates with federal, provincial, and local government for developing policy plans and implementation.

Ministry of Water Supply: Ministry of Water Supply is the lead ministry responsible for planning, implementation, regulation, and monitoring and evaluation of sanitation programs in the country (GoN, 2015). Under the MoWS, Department of Water Supply and Sewerage

Management (DWSSM) plan and implement water and sanitation projects funded by foreign donors or inter provincial projects or serves at least 15,000, 5,000 and 1,000 people in terai, hilly and mountain region respectively (GoN, 2015). The organizational structure of DWSSM is shown in Figure 21.



Figure 21: Organizational Structure Department of Water Supply and Sewerage Management (DWSSM).

At Provincial Level

Ministry of Physical Infrastructure: Ministry of physical infrastructure of provincial government in Madesh Province is major executing body in the province. Planning and implementation of water supply and sanitation infrastructure in the province is executed through Water supply and Sanitation Divisional Office (WSSDO). WSSDO implements the water and sanitation programs meeting the following criteria:

i. Interlocal government projects.

ii. Beneficiaries are between 5,000 to 15,000 in the terai region, 3,000 to 5,000 in hilly region and 500 to 1,000 in Himalayan region.

3.2.2 Service Provision

Urban Water Supply and Sanitation Policy 2009 have emphasized the Public-Private Partnership (PPP) in water supply and sanitation to improve service delivery (MoPIT, 2009). Also, the Public-Private Partnership Policy, 2015 encourages private sector investment in the development and operation of public infrastructure services for comprehensive socioeconomic development. The policy has aimed to remedy challenges such as structuring of projects, land acquisition, coordination and approval, payments to private sectors and approval for environment impact (MoF, 2015).

3.2.3 Service Standards

The sanitation service standards have set by Nepal Water Supply, Sanitation and Hygiene Sector Development Plan (2016-2030). It classifies sanitation services as high, medium, and

basic based on sanitation facilities in place. The sanitation service levels with indicators are shown in Table 8. However, FSM specific standards have yet to be developed and implemented.

Table 8: Sanitation Service Level and its Components.

S.N.	Service Components	Service Level		
		High	Medium	Basic
1	Health and Hygiene Education	✓	✓	✓
2	Household Latrine	✓	✓	✓
3	Public and School Toilets	✓	✓	✓
4	Septic tank sludge collection, transport, treatment, and disposal	✓	✓	✓
5	Surface drains for collection, transmission, and disposal of grey water	✓	✓	✓
6	Small-bore sewer collection for toilet and septic tank effluent, low-cost treatment and disposal		✓	
7	Sanitary sewers for wastewater collection, transmission, non-conventional treatment, and disposal	✓		
8	Sanitary sewers for wastewater collection, the transmission of conventional treatment and disposal	✓		
9	Limited solid waste collection and safe disposal	✓	✓	✓

3.3 Planning

3.3.1 Service Targets

The plans and programs for development in Nepal is guided by a national development framework formulated by the national planning commission in coordination with sectoral ministries. The ministry of finance allocates budgets and releases them to executing agencies and coordinates with development partners to address resource gaps. Nepal is committed to the SDGs which has been reaffirmed in key documents such as the current 15th development plan and the 25-year long-term vision 2100 that internalizes the sustainable development goals (NPC, 2020).

The SDGs codes are assigned for all national development programs through the Medium-Term Expenditure Framework (MTEF). The MTEF sets out three-year spending plans of the national and provincial governments which aims to ensure that budgets reflect social and economic priorities and give substance to reconstruction and development commitments (NPC, 2020). Further, Nepal has prepared the SDG status and roadmap to localize the SDG indicators with baselines and targets for 2030. Nepal has set the following target and indicator focused on sanitation based on global SDGs as shown in Table 9.

Table 9: National SDG target and indicator on sanitation.

National SDG Target and Indicator	2015	2019	2022	2025	2030

Target 6.2 By 2030, achieve access to adequate and equitable sanitation and hygiene for all and end open defecation, paying special attention to the needs of women and girls and those in vulnerable situations						
6.2.1 Proportion of population using safely managed sanitation services, including a hand-washing facility with soap and water						
1	Households using improved sanitation facilities which are not shared (%)	60	69.3	78.7	85.7	95
2	Proportion of population using latrine (%)	67.6	75.7	83.8	90	98
3	Sanitation coverage (%)	82	86.5	89.9	93.3	99
4	Urban households with toilets connected to sewer systems/ proper FSM (%)	30	46	62	74	90

4 Stakeholder Engagement

4.1 Key Informant Interviews (KIIs)

KIIs and objective sharing of the study were conducted with the major stakeholders of sanitation sector of the municipality. Interview was performed with Mr. Raj Kumar Khadka, Mrs. Roshani Khatiwoda, Social Development Section Head and Planning Officer of Triyuga Municipality for the planning and the activity that is going on sanitation sector and Mr. Om Bahadur Khadka, Mr. Birbal Rai, Chairperson of Drinking Water Uses Committee in Municipality. Table 10 shows the KII with the Municipal officers, Water Users Committee (Figure 22), Public Toilet Operator and Private Desludger.

Table 10: List of Key Informant Interviewed personnel.

S.N.	Name	Designation	Organization	Purpose of KII	Date
1.	Mr. Raj Kumar Khadka and Mrs Roshani Khatiwoda (KII-1)	Social Development Section head, Planning Officer	Triyuga Municipality	Sanitation status, Ongoing projects on Sanitation, Policies and plan for Sanitation development	8th January, 2024
2.	Mr. Om Bahadur Khadka, Mr. Santosh Khadka (KII-2)	Chairperson, Secretary	Triyuga Small town Drinking Water and Sanitation Users Committee	Supply and demand of water, water sources, groundwater contamination risk	8th January, 2024
3.	Mr. Birbal Rai, Mr. Mahendra Prasad Chaudary (KII-3)	Chairperson,	Jaljale Drinking Water Supply and Sanitation Users Community	Supply and demand of water, water sources, groundwater contamination risk	8th January, 2024
4.	Mrs. Tulasi Magar (KII-4)	Operator	Sripur Community Drinking Water Supply and Sanitation Users Community	Supply and demand of water, water sources, groundwater contamination risk	8th January, 2024
5.	Mr. Shyam Safa (KII-5)	Driver and Operator	Udayapur Setic tanki Sarsafai	Emptying practices, finances, requirements, disposal and treatment	22nd March, 2024
6.	Mrs. Geeta Malik (KII-6)	Operator	Public Toilet	Operation and Maintenance, daily flow and challenges	9th January, 2024

Figure 22: KII with Chairperson of Jaljale Drinking Water Supply and Sanitation Users Community.

4.2 Household Survey

A household survey was conducted in all wards of the municipality through mobilization of enumerators selected by the municipality. The enumerators were given two days orientation about sanitation and methods for conducting the household survey. The household survey was conducted using the mobile application "KOBOCOLLECT" after orientation. The SFD team members along with the municipal focal person went on field visits in households to encourage enumerators and observe the household sanitation status.

4.2.1 Determining Sample Size

The number of households to be sampled in the municipality was determined by using Cochran (1963:75) sample size formula $no = \frac{z2pq}{e2}$ and its finite population correction for the proportion n= no/(1+ (no-1)/N).

Where,

Z^2	1.96	At the confidence level of 95%
p	0.5	Assuming that about 50% of the population should have some sanitation characteristics that need to be studied (this was set at 50% since this percentage would yield the maximum sample size as the percentage of the population practising some form of sanitation is not known at the intervention sites).
q	1-p	
e	+/-5%	Level of precision or sampling error.
N		A total number of population (households in the municipality).

This is followed by proportionate stratification random sampling such that each wards in the municipality is considered as one stratum. The sample size required in each ward is calculated as

nh= (Nh/N)*n, where Nh is the total population in each stratum.

Thus, a total of 377 households were sampled from 25623 households distributed in 16 wards with proportionate stratification random sampling which is shown in Figure 23.



Figure 23: Distribution of sampling points in different wards of Triyuga Municipality.

4.2.2 Direct Observation

Various sanitation technologies in the households in all the wards were observed and visual references were kept in Figure 24. Also, observations of the public toilet, water source, containments and transportation of faecal sludge were carried out.

Figure 24: Direct observation Survey in the Municipality.

4.3 Sharing and Validation of Data:

The sharing and validation of findings on sanitation status were conducted in the municipality hall in participation of the Mayor, Deputy Mayor, Chief Administrative Officer (CAO), Ward Chairpersons, Municipal Officers, General members of the municipal council and other relevant stakeholders. The participants agreed upon the findings of this study that showed current sanitation status of the municipality (Figure 25).

Figure 25: Sharing and Validation at Triyuga Municipality.

5 Acknowledgements

We would like to acknowledge United Cities Local Government – Asia Pacific (UCLG ASPAC) for funding the Municipalities Advocacy on Sanitation in South Asia – II (MuNASS-II) and Municipal Association of Nepal (MuAN) for coordination with the Municipality.

We offer our sincere gratitude to Basanta Kumar Basnet, Mayor, Mrs. Maheshwori Rai, Deputy Mayor, Mr. Bishnu Bhakta Sigdel, Chief Administrative Officer of Triyuga Municipality. We would also like to thank Mr. Raj Kumar Khadka, Social Development Section head, Planning and staff of Triyuga Municipality for their remarkable support during the study.

We would like to thank Dr. Roshan Raj Shrestha, Deputy Director of Bill and Melinda Gates Foundation (BMGF), Dr. Bernadia Irawati Tjandradewi, Secretary General, and Mr. Satish Jung Shah, Knowledge Management UCLG ASPAC. Similarly, we are very much obliged to Mr. Bhim Prasad Dhungana, President, Mr. Ashok Kumar Byanju Shrestha, Former President. Mr. Kalanidhi Devkota, Executive Director and Mr. Muskan Shrestha, Sanitation Advocacy Specialist from MuAN for their support during the study.

We are very grateful to Ms. Bhawana Sharma, Executive Director and Mr. Rajendra Shrestha, Program Director in Environment and Public Health Organization (ENPHO) for tremendous support and guidance during the whole process of the study. Together, we would like to thank the entire team of ENPHO for their gracious support and MuNASS-II team without whom studying would not have been possible.

We are grateful to the enumerators, Mrs. Kajal Chaudhary, Mrs. Kabita Basnet, Mr. Ram Bahadur Tamang, Mr. Sunil Rana Magar, Mrs. Durga Dahal, Mr. Ashish Pariyar, Mrs. Nawomi Ale Magar, Mrs. Sulochana Danuwar, Mrs. Susmita B.K, Mrs. Nabina Khatri, Mrs. Bhakta Kumari B.K, Mrs. Rojina B.K, Mrs. Reniu Lagun, Mr. Min Kumar Rai, Mrs. Tulasi Sarki and Mrs. Tika Magar (Rai).

6 References

Andreo, S. F. (2013). The aquifer pollution vulnerability concept: aid or impediment in promoting groundwater protection? *Hydrogeology Journal*.

Augustine Chioma Affam, E. H. (2021). Sanitation Systems and Technology Options.

CBS. (2020). *Multiple Indicator Cluster Survey, 2019.* Kathmandu, Nepal: Central Bureau of Statistics.

CBS. (2021). *National Population and Housing Census 2021.* Kathmandu, Nepal: Central Bureau of Statistics. Retrieved from chrome-extension://efaidnbmnnnibpcajpcglclefindmkaj/https://unstats.un.org/unsd/demographic-social/census/documents/Nepal/Nepal-Census-2011-Vol1.pdf

Census 2021. (n.d.). Retrieved from https://censusnepal.cbs.gov.np/results/population?province=2&district=20&municipality=13

Climate, W. a. (n.d.). Retrieved from https://weatherandclimate.com/nepal/sagarmatha/udayapur-garhi

DWSSM. (2009). *National Urban Water Supply and Sanitation Sector Policy.* Kathmandu, Nepal: Department of Water Supply and Sewerage Management, Ministry of Water Supply, Government of Nepal.

ENPHO. (2024). *Sanitation Situtaion Assessment of Triyuga Municipality: Unpublished.*

GoN. (2015, September 30). *Constitution of Nepal: Goverment of Nepal*. Retrieved from https://lawcommission.gov.np/en/wp-content/uploads/2021/01/Constitution-of-Nepal.pdf

KII-1. (2024). Interview with Municipal officers. (R. Shrestha, Interviewer)

KII-2. (2024). KII with water users committee. (A. Rupak, Interviewer)

KII-3, 4. (2024). KII with water users committee. (A. Rupak, Interviewer)

KII-5. (2024). KII with Private Desludger. (A. Shrestha, Interviewer)

Krishnan, S. (2011). *On-site Sanitation and Groundwater Contamination: A Policy and Technical Review.* Anand: INREM Foundation.

Linda Strande, M. R. (2014). *Faecal Sludge Management Systems Approach for Implementation and Operation.* London: IWA Publishing.

MoF. (2015). Public-Private Partnership Policy. In M. o. Finance. Kathmandu, Nepal: Goverment of Nepal.

MoPIT. (2009). National Urban Water Supply and Sanitation Sector Policy. Ministry of Physical Infrastructure and Transport.

Nepal Archives. (n.d.). *Triyuga Municipality*. Retrieved from Introduction: https://www.nepalarchives.com/

Nepal, G. o. (2011). *National Population and Housing Census 2011*. Retrieved from chrome-extension://efaidnbmnnnibpcajpcglclefindmkaj/https://unstats.un.org/unsd/demographic-social/census/documents/Nepal/Nepal-Census-2011-Vol1.pdf

NPC. (2017). *Nepal Sustainable Development Goals, Status and Roadmap: 2016-2030.* National Planning Commission.

NPC. (2020). *National Review of Sustainable Development Goal.* Kathmandu Nepal: National Planning Commission.

Susana. (2018). *SFD Manual.*

SuSanA. (2018). *Shit Flow Diagram Manual; Volume 1 and 2;SFD promotion initiatives.* www.susana.org.

Udayapur_District. (n.d.). Retrieved from en.wikipedia.org: https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Udayapur_District

UNGA. (2010). *Human Right to Water and Sanitation*. Retrieved from United Nations General Assembly.

Weather and Climate. (n.d.). Retrieved from tcktcktck.org: https://tcktcktck.org/nepal/kosi/morang

7 Appendix

7.1 Appendix 1: Pictures of participants during Shit Flow Diagram Orientation program


MuNASS II
Survey on Shit Flow Diagram- Orientation

7.2 Appendix 2: List of Participants in Sharing and Validation Workshop



आज मिति २०८१ साल वैशाख १२ गतेका दिन त्रियुगा नगरपालिकामा नेपाल नगरपालिका संघको आयोजनामा वातावरण र जनस्वास्थ्य संस्था (एन्फो) को प्राविधिक सहयोग, The United Cities and local Government Asia Pacific (UCLG AsPAC) को कार्यान्वयन र Bill and Melinda Gates Foundation (BMGF) को आर्थिक सहयोगमा Municipalities Network Advocacy on Sanitation in South Asia (MuNASS II) कार्यक्रम अन्तर्गत संचालन गरिएको Shit Flow Diagram (SFD) सम्बन्धी दलफल र प्रमाणीकरण कार्यशाला कार्यक्रममा निम्न अनुसार मुख्य सरोकारवालाको सहभागिता रहेको ।

उपस्थिति :

क्र.सं.	नाम	कार्यालय	पद	फोन नं.	हस्ताक्षर
१.	वसन्त कुमार बस्नेत	त्रियुगा नगरपालिका	नगर प्रमुख	९८५२८३०४३७	
२.	महेश्वरी राई	त्रियुगा नगरपालिका	नगर उप-प्रमुख	९८४२८२८१७५	
३.	विष्णु भक्त सिजेल	त्रियुगा नगरपालिका	प्र. प्र. अ.	९८५२८३८१११	
४.	[illegible]	त्रि.न.पा. – ६	वडा अध्यक्ष	९८४४८०४२१	
५.	[illegible]	" १०	" "	९८४८३०३२८	
६.	राजकुमार चौधरी	१९	सदस्य	९८०६९४४१६	
७.	[illegible]	" १७	वडासदस्य	९८४२८२४४३३	
८.	[illegible]	त्रियुगा नगरपालिका	का.पा.सदस्य	९८४२९२८४२	
९.	[illegible]	त्रि.न.पा. ४	वडा अध्यक्ष	९८४२३०६६६	
१०.	[illegible]	त्रि.न.पा.	वा.पं.सद	९८६४३३०६३८	
११.	[illegible]	त्रि.न.पा.	अ.इ.ओ	९८४९६६४४४	
१२.	अनिता थापा	त्रि.न.पा. १२	की.वि.वडाध्यक्ष	९८६०१९२१५६	
१३.	[illegible]	त्रि.न.पा.१	कार्यपालिका सदस्य	९८१३४४४८८	
१४.	[illegible]	त्रि.न.पा.५	वडाध्यक्ष	९८४९८५४२४०	
१५.	[illegible]	त्रि.न.पा.का.सचिव	अनौपचा	९८४२८३८६६१	
१६.	[illegible]	त्रि.न.पा.	शाखा अधिकृत	९८३२८४३२८	

क्र.सं.	नाम	कार्यालय	पद	फोन नं.	हस्ताक्षर
१७	योगेन्द्र [illegible]	[illegible] कार्यालय	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]
१८	रेणुका श्रेष्ठ	त्रि. न. पा. ९	कार्यपालिका सदस्य	९८६९८६७३८६९	[illegible]
१९	राजकुमार खड्का	त्रिनपा	अधिकृत आठौं	९८५२८३२१६४	[illegible]
२०	शैलेन्द्र कु. मंडल	"	इन्जिनियर	9866749100	[illegible]
२१	कमलेश विश्वकर्मा	त्रियुगा नगरपालिका	नगरपालिका सदस्य	९८४२८५४१३२	[illegible]
२२	लक्ष्मी चौधरी	त्रियुगा - ११	नगर [illegible]	९८२१६६३३९८०	[illegible]
२३	दिव्या श्रेष्ठ	" " १२	[illegible]	—	[illegible]
२४	बिमला खड्का	"	नगरपालिका		[illegible]
२५	गणेश बहादुर	त्रिनपा ६			[illegible]
२६	ललिता कु. चौधरी	त्रि न पा २	नगर सहभागी		[illegible]
२७	सिर्जना राई				[illegible]
२८	खगेन्द्र पोखरेल	त्रि. न. पा.	उप प्रमुख सचिवालय	९८५२८३५८४४	[illegible]
२९	[illegible] बि. थापा	"	ड्राइभर		[illegible]
३०	विजय शाह	"	नगर प्रमुखको प्रमुख स्वकीय सचिव		[illegible]
३१	बसन्त खड्का	"	नगर प्रमुखको सहयोगी		[illegible]
३२	पूजा मिश्र	"		९८२४४८५८७	[illegible]
३३	बसन्त खड्का		कार्यालय सहयोगी		[illegible]
३४.	राजेन्द्र श्रेष्ठ	ENPHO	P.D.	9851042148	[illegible]
३५.	कुन्द बज्राचार्य	"	P.C	9849132020	[illegible]
३६.	अनिता मुजु	"	A.P.O.	9849358197	Anju

SFD Triyuga Municipality, Nepal, 2025

Produced by:

Rupak Shrestha, ENPHO
Asmita Shrestha, ENPHO
Anita Bhuju, ENPHO
Buddha Bajracharya, ENPHO
Sabuna Gamal, ENPHO

This Executive Summary and the SFD Report are available from:

www.sfd.susana.org

SFD Promotion Initiative