SUSTAINABILITY OF SANITATION PROGRAMMES IN UGANDA

Dr James Okot-Okumu Makerere University Institute of Environment and Natural Resources

Outline of Presentation

- Introduction
- Sanitation in Uganda overview
- The response to the sanitation challenges
- Programme initiation in Uganda
- Sustainability in sanitation
- How can we innovative
- Evaluation of sanitation programmes
- Conclusions

This year 2008 is the international Year for sanitation

Governments, international agencies – the whole world is putting efforts to address sanitation problems

Keynote address presents an overview of the efforts to ensure sustainable sanitation by looking at the Ugandan situation.

- The realisation that poor sanitation is the cause of diseases and loss of lives led to the development of the centralised water borne human waste system.
- Sanitation is one of the most influencing factors of health, productivity, poverty and dignity

- The centralised waste management and sanitation systems were exported to the developing world during the colonization era.
- The system apparently works well in the developed countries.
- In most developing countries African the systems have not worked well

 The governments have failed to maintain and expand the sanitation systems

 The population have therefore resorted to their own solutions – onsite facilities

- Around 80% of disease burden is related to poor sanitation and hygiene.
- Only about 41% of the population have access to improved or safe sanitation although latrine coverage is estimated at 59% for rural population

- Number of people per stance is high overuse, not used properly, poorly managed
- Most public and school facilities do not have provision for people with disabilities
- Many facilities are structurally poor, unsafe and expose users to disease risks.

 Most poor are in marginal low lying areas, swampy, high water table – cannot dig pit latrines, use hanging latrines, throw faces in polythene bags, free range.

 Some pit latrines discharged into open drains during rain

 Other wastes are also dumped directly into storm drains

Social-economic housing zones in most urban areas of Uganda. e.g. Kampala



Sanitation facilities among the poor are unsafe in many cases







The response to the sanitation challenges

 Global response – The UN setting of the MDGs (2000)

 MDG 7, the target: to halve the proportion of people without sustainable access to safe drinking water and sanitation to half

International Year For Sanitation 2008

- The UN declared 2008 as the International year for sanitation to mobilise more efforts to the MDG for sanitation –
- Aims to raise awareness, to encourage governments and associated institutions to implement policies and actions to meet targets.
- It is to involve all stakeholders with focus on communities to cause change in sanitation practices.

International Year For Sanitation

- The hope is that since sanitation is for all, involving all will cause all to act positively towards the goal for everyone's sanitation.
- This therefore calls for *innovation in the technical, social and financial means* for addressing sanitation problems.

African effort in addressing Sanitation

- In Africa most countries have developed plans to meet the MDG on water supply and sanitation.
- These however are usually documents and are not implemented for various reasons such as lack of prioritisation, financing, etc.

African effort in addressing Sanitation

- An overview of the status of sixteen African countries efforts to meet the MDGs was published in 2006
- The report noted that Africa is lagging behind the rest of the world in achieving the MDGs on water and sanitation.

Sanitation has a role in all MDG Goals

Sanitation is essential for productive life sanitation enhances school attendance and retention of pupils
Sanitation enhanhes female dignity and abilities
Sanitation reduces mobidity and mortality
Saitation reduces infection risks to mothers
Sanitation prevents vector and waterborne disease ransmission
canitation ensures a clean and healthy environment
sanitation call for multisector partnership
Sa

Programme initiation in Uganda

- Response core strategies have been refined, inspired by the Kampala Declaration on Sanitation (1997).
- MoU Ministry of Water and Environment, Ministry of Health and the Ministry of Education and Sports
- The Sector Wide Approach (SWAp)
- Establishment of Sanitation Sector Working Group and District Water and Sanitation Coordination Committees

Programme initiation in Uganda

- Regular Sector Reports
- Coordinated funding
- RWSP and UWSP
- Sanitation Master Plan Kampala
- Comprehensive list of initiatives in (NETWAS 2007)

The challenge

- Failure of the centralised system
- Lack of capacity to manage the system
- Inequity and gender issue
- Inadequate data and poor information dissemination

The challenge

- Poor of networking
- Failure of most introduce new systems (innovations)
- Gaps in the legal framework
- Research funding internally is almost nonexistent

Sanitation: Sustainability

- The term 'sustainability' is used invariably and the concept of sustainability interpreted in many different ways
- Some people think it is success not always true
- However what is known is that sustainability has time dimension

Sustainability

- It is also clear that the concept of sustainable development interventions has
 - human,
 - psychological,
 - social,
 - cultural,
 - financial,
 - institutional,
 - environmental, and
 - technical dimensions

Sustainability

 It is therefore apparent that the factors listed in the previous slide have to be considered to a satisfactory degree for the target community programs

Sustainable interventions

- Sustainable intervention 'continues to work over time'
- This implies that the facility
 - is used,
 - is maintained,
 - is 'paid' for and
 - serves or its evolution is 'permanently' perpetuated.
- In other words there is *functional sustainability*.

How can we be innovative

- The main objective would be to produce sanitation facilities that can cope with community demands and satisfy sustainability.
- The question would then be how is it done? Do we already know all or not yet?

How

 Looking back at slide 26 – we know that the interests of the community must be reviewed and balanced.

• The process should be a continuous one that improves in every cycle.

How

- This means the innovation is a process and not a one off event.
- I believe the basic concept of management planning illustrated by the Deming Cycle can be applied.
- Plan, <u>Do[©]</u>, Check, Correct/Improve.

The planning and management cycle

- The simple thinking here recognises that goals are never fully achieved and process improvement is never ending
- Community internal and external factors may have changed or will change influencing operation and management cycle continuously (Figure next slide)

Consideration of community factors in the planning and management of sanitation programmes



Evaluation of programmes in Uganda

- Evaluation of sanitation is weak and emphasis is "Golden Indicators" (MWE 2007), coverage.
- Access to 'improved' sanitation. 'Improved' is not defined to indicate functionality.
- No criteria used that clearly evaluates sanitation functional sustainability in the country.

Suggested Evaluation Criteria

- The level of community involvement,
- Availability of sanitation committees
- The condition of facility (structural, hygiene, safety, functional, etc)
- The use of facility (as planned, overuse, underused)
- Cost including for operation and management
- Replication
- Community acceptance or pride of the project

Conclusions

- We are lagging behind in efforts to meet MDG on sanitation
- Efforts must therefore be focused
- Strategic sanitation approach demand responsive, flexible and involve all stakeholders, integrated
- Institutional strengthening especially at the LGs, NGOs.

END OF PRESENTATION

THANK YOU