Wastewater Governance: A Challenge for Environmental Engineers

Reginald Grendelman and Frans Huibers Irrigation and Water Engineering Group Wageningen University – the Netherlands





Presentation content

Introduction wastewater irrigation
Wastewater governance
Implications for design
Challenges





Wastewater irrigation: 4 facts

 Wastewater is an increasingly important (and reliable) water source

 Nutrients in wastewater may (partly) replace chemical fertilizers

Irrigation with wastewater can be considered as a treatment step

Many (poor) farmers benefit from wastewater



Expected population growth upto 2030





Portion Urban Produced of Total Consumed

Vegetables

Hanoi, Vietnam80%Dakar, Senegal70%Dar es Salaam90%Bamako, Mali100%Haroonabad, Pak.26 %

10 % world population consumes waste water produce foods





Wastewater irrigation

Supplies water and nutrients at the same time
Crop water requirement differs per crop and is defined by:

- evapo-transpiration
- application losses (irrigation technique and management)
- special operations (land preparation, leaching)
- Optimal use of effluent is defined by: irrigation techniques, effluent storage & blending, crop choice



Contamination by wastewater

PathogensNutrient overloadingToxic substances



Crop Handling: Contamination Risks





Irrigation Methods









However:

In most cases:

- Effluent irrigation is no design criterion in wastewater treatment engineering
- Farmers are insufficiently aware of nutrient content of the treated sewage they use

Consequence:

• Nutrient mismatching at field level, bearing serious agronomic and environmental risks



Wastewater governance

Interdependency
Shared overall goal
Stakeholder involvement
Information and knowledge exchange
Use-based design of facilities:

Effluent quality
Spatial distribution of STPs









Implications for design [1]

Boundary conditions for effluent:

- Toxics, salinity, nutrient content
- From strict limits to seasonal values

Technical implications:

- Location
- Process-design
- Differentiation: Domestic v.s. Industrial



Implications for design [2]

Institutional implications:

- Stakeholder negotiation platforms
- Information dissemination
- Downstream integrated monitoring
- Lengthy negotiation processes
- Decentralized management
- Demand driven v.s. supply driven





Shift from authority-management to participatory and supportive management

Inclusion of socio-technical concepts of design



THANK YOU

Further information: reginald.grendelman@wur.nl

© Wageningen UR



