

Inspiration for product development - Disposal June 15th 2012

Aldus bouwinnovatie eigenwijze ingenieurs I inventieve adviseurs











New technology inspiration;

- Dumping
- Depositing
- Stabilization
- Resource/energy recovery

Challenges & discussion points;





Problem:

there is no acceptable (kit) solution available to be deployed in all emergency situations

Goal:

to establish an unambiguous set of requirements

for new disposal facilities:

- 1. General consensus
- 2. Feasible solution in all emergency situations



Method:

- 1. Evaluating current solutions
- 2. Response to concept requirements
- 3. Search for new inspiration
- 4. Reaching consensus in workshop



Different methods for waste management

Dumping

- infiltration into ground /waterDepositing
- discharge in temporary storage medium
 Stabilization
- stabilization ponds
- * thermal stabilization (e.g. incineration)
- chemical stabilization









Resource and/or Energy recovery

- composting
- constructed wetlands
- digestion/biogas usage





Conclusion 1: Ideal disposal facility: 100% pathogen reduction, 100% nutrients recovery, high capacity, fast deployment at low costs and high processing





Conclusion 2:

 High amount of disposal methods available, however none (to little) of them are used in emergency situations

constructed wetlands 100% pathogen
 reduction, 100% nutrients recovery,

Simple (covered) storage requires good
 ventilation



Conclusions 2:

- Composting requires the least equipment for recovery, however is slow in processing
- Incineration is a very fast method of
 stabilization, but requires advanced equipment.
- Biogas installations have high equipment intensity



Conclusions 2:

- Consensus regarding priorities of requirements
 1. High safety
 - 2. Speed of deployment
 - 3. Scalable configuration
- some specifications are not quantified:
 - e.g. process type, speed of deployment, range of capacity, efficiency rate, time: volume ratio
- negative correlated specifications lead to challenging requirements:



Conclusions 3:

- Not enough consensus on quantitative specifications
- Doubts on feasibility of requirements...
- Process knowledge is required to judge criteria



New technology inspiration;



Dumping



Temporary dumping constructions

- appropriate for large quantities
- modular configuration by building blocks
- reinforcement with local abundant material (e.g. sand)





Depositing



Large (manure/water) tanks

- appropriate for large quantities
- compact transportation
- robust and solid construction







Depositing



Flexible water tanks

- appropriate for large quantities
- compact transportation
- can be placed on different types of surfaces





Stabilization

Stabilization pond



- modular configuration by building blocks
- reinforcement with local abundant material (e.g. sand)





STABILISATION



Stabilization









Compact incineration units

- very safe and fast disposal method
- modular configuration by building blocks
- high throughput and energy output
- nutrient rich ashes







Black Soldier Fly (BSF)

biological conversion: the larvae of the BSF are able to consume pit latrine content

RECOVER





Plant beds

- very safe and fast disposal method
- modular configuration by building blocks
- high throughput and energy output





RECOVERY



Anaerobic digestion systems



RECOVERY



Challenges & Discussion points;



Challenges

- Development of rapid solution for first three months of an emergency
- Development of a product solution witch can be transported by air plane
- Development of a system with low product & life cycle cost
- Development of a product able to process high volumes



Discussion points

- * What process is most suitable for different emergency situations?
- Is compositing capable of handling large number of people?
- Is it feasible to treat different types of waste in one type of facility?
- Does the disposal unit need specific desludging facilities?
- Does the disposal unit need specific desludging facilities?



Discussion points

* Would a phased solution of initial disposal and later on stabilization and energy- and resource recovery be helpful?



Group sessions



Group session:

All participants divided in 7 groups

Each group receives a short briefing and emergency context scenario

Group assignment:

1. Decide with your group what disposal solution is best suited in your given context. Draw how it would work! 20 minutes

- 2. Quantify and specify the criteria stated in your group briefing: 30 minutes
- 3. Add 3 most relevant specs missing: 10 minutes



Requirements to be discussed:

- A1. The disposal facility should require a limited amount of space at required treatment capacity of X m3 sludge per day
- B3. Ability to deploy the disposal facility within short period (X weeks) upon arrival in the field
- C1. Items required for the disposal facility should be low in volume (easy to transport/low airfreight) according the following volume factor 1 m3 transport volume : X m3 operational volume
- C2. Items required for the disposal facility should be low in weight (easy to transport/low airfreight), with a maximum of X kg per module



Requirements to be discussed:

D2. Ability to process different types of sludge (liquid, semi solid, solid)

- D3. The disposal facility should be an effective solution to decrease and remove pathogens, by a minimum reduction of X %
- D7. The disposal process should consume a limited amount of time per volume sludge unit (preferably less than X days)
- E2. Affordability Operation and maintenance costs: Operation Expenditures should not exceed 500 USD per....



