Sustainable waste management in Gram Panchayats – success stories and challenges







National WASH Conclave 2022, 23-25th Feb 2022



SAAHAS

20 year old NGO with pan-India presence





Resource Recovery through
Source Segregation
Decentralized Processing
Appropriate destinations for
all waste streams

Program Features



Minimal waste to landfill thru reduction & resource recovery



Awareness and behavioural change



Social and financial inclusion of Waste Collectors



Data driven M&E frameworks



RURAL INTERVENTIONS OF SAAHAS



Rural Locations

Andra Pradesh: Chittoor Dist

Karnataka:

Ballari, Belagavi, Bengaluru Urban, Bengaluru Rural , Dakshina Kannada Ramanagaram, Vijayanagara Udupi

Telangana:

Ranga Reddy, Vikarabad

Gujarat: Valsad

Maharashtra: Pune

Madhya Pradesh: Panna

Rajasthan: Alwar

Number of GPs	Number of villages	District	State
3	4	Ballari	
12	101	Bengaluru Rural	
4	23	Bengaluru Urban	Karnataka
6	10	Belagavi	
49	220	Ballari, Ramanagara, Dakshina Kannada, Udupi, Vijayanagar	
1	3	Alwar	Rajasthan
1	1	Valsad	Gujarat
2	2	Pune	Maharashtra
3	7	RangaReddy	Telangana
3	7	Vikarabad	
1	5	Chittoor	Andhra Pradesh
85	383		



Focus areas in rural SWM



IEC/BCC

Should cover:
Source segregation

Waste reduction

Understand need for user fee

Need for penalty and fines



Build Capacity

Awareness about the implementation steps;

Knowledge about right infrastructure for collection and processing;

Awareness about Govt funding schemes;



Infrastructure

Many schemes support setting up of infrastructure

Suitable infrastructure, tailored for the specific location



Operations

Adequate staff for collection, processing, monitoring

Costs of disposal of non-recyclable items and domestic hazardous to be factored in



Sustenance

Monitoring & evaluation

Sustained source segregation and Sustained operations



Success stories

Different success levels achieved in different state

Success factors for Karnataka:

- Push from the state or district
- State has released policy, strategy and model bye-laws for GPs; many GPs have adopted the bye-laws for charging user fee
- Dovetailing of different schemes (like SBM, MGNREGA, NRLM etc) to meet the capex and opex needs
- Presence of many successful models across the state

Atul, Valsad, Gujarat State:

- Supported by Atul Foundation
- Segregation % is greater than 80%
- Well running composting unit and dry waste centre
- Sanitary landfill made for rejects















Innovation piloted in Rural Karnataka

Setting up of 4 pilot Material Recovery Facilities

- Being set up in 4 districts
- 10TPD capacity
- Waste from the GPs in the Taluk will come to the MRF
- Acts as tertiary sorting centre and aggregation unit





Operational Material Recovery Facility in Udupi and Ballari









Waste at Udupi MRF

Material Recovery Facility under final phase of construction in Ramanagara and Dakshina Kannada



Need for MRFs

DWCC	MRF	
Mostly manual sorting	Semi-Mechanised sorting	
Decentralized at ward/GP level	Centralized at Taluk level	
Unskilled or semi-skilled manpower	Skilled manpower	
Low sorting efficiency per worker	Improved sorting efficiency per worker	
Capacity will be usually less than 1 MT	Minimum capacity will be 5MT onwards	
Items are dispatched to local scrap dealers/aggregators	Items are dispatched to authorised end destinations	
Basic infrastructure requirements	MRF requires specific layouts which will allow placement of the machineries and facilitate material movement.	
No worker's compliances	Workers compliances; hygienic & safe work environment	
No traceability of material	Traceability of material	
Chances of pilferages	Reduced pilferages	
No standardization	Standard SOP, Implementation of 5S model and EHS	



Challenges and recommendations

CHALLENGES	RECOMMENDATIONS
Most people including many Govt functionaries believe that income from sale of waste is sufficient to take care of operational costs	Data from successful pilots should be shared widely to show the true operational costs and income from waste. This should be included in IEC and capacity building modules
Pick up of non-recyclables	EPR support to send non-recyclables to cement plants or other approved end destinations. 5-10% of EPR targets should be from rural areas. Aggregation centres and MRFs required to make this viable
Sanitary waste disposal	EPR on sanitary pads and diapers for tying up with authorized biomedical waste facilities for proper disposal Promotion of sustainable menstrual products and reusable diapers Small incinerators being provided to GPs are not safe and not recommended by CPCB
Funds for setting up of infrastructure like MRFs	16 lakhs for aggregation units per Taluk in SBM-G not sufficient. MGNREGA funs from multiple GPs can be pooled up. Other Govt schemes?
Funds to meet operational expenses	All states should allow using 15 th Finance Commission funds to meet initial gap till user fee collection stabilizes
Tools and staff for monitoring	Taluk level material traceability software for monitoring of operations Dedicated manpower to monitor the SWM and LWM systems



Thank you

Archana Tripathi, CEO, Saahas

Contact: archana@saahas.org

